

For the Northern District of California

**United States District Court** 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

is appropriate only where the allegations in the petition are vague or conclusory, palpably incredible, or patently frivolous or false. Hendricks v. Vasquez, 908 F.2d 490, 491 (9th Cir. 1990) (quoting Blackledge v. Allison, 431 U.S. 63, 75-76 (1977)).

The Court has reviewed the petition and finds good cause to proceed. Accordingly,

- 1. The Clerk of the Court shall serve by certified mail a copy of this Order and the petition and all attachments thereto upon the respondents and the respondents' counsel, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this Order on the petitioner's counsel.
- 2. Respondents shall file with this Court and serve upon the petitioner, within sixty (60) days of the issuance of this Order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the answer a copy of all portions of the state trial and appellate record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.
- 3. If the petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it upon the respondents within thirty (30) days of his receipt of the answer.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: November 19, 2007

CHARLES R. BREYER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

G:\CRBALL\2007\5719\osc.wpd